**Practitioner's Docket No** 

M02A241

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APR 0 9 2007

In re application of: DAVIS et al.

Application No.: 10 /807,716

Group No.:

Filed: March 24, 2004 Examiner:
For: "Process for Producing Semi-Conductor Coated Substrate"

**Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

cation to a reduction 29, 2001, 1247 OG
rademark Office
•
and Trademark
•
<i>r</i>
ner for Patents, P.O.
•
ddressee"
•
ddressee"
ddressee"
ddressee"

<sup>\*</sup> Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(check and complete applicable items below)	
<ul><li>☐ An Examiner's Answer was mailed on</li><li>☐ A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on</li></ul>	_
ALLOWED APPLICATIONS the mailing of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on	

2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.

NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as

## "NEW APPLICATION

"Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

"Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

"Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

## "AMENDED APPLICATIONS

"Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."

Reg. No.: 31,147 SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Ira Lee Zebra

(type or print name of practitioner)

The BOC Group, Inc.

Legal Services-Intellectual Property

P.O. Address

575 Mountain Ave.

Murray Hill, NJ 07974

Customer No.:

Tel. No.: (908)771-6469

020411

## STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLIC	CATION SERIAL NO. /-	IS CURRENILY
	ASSIGNED TO GROUP	AND AWAITS:
	☐ ACTION BY THE EX	KAMINER.
	☐ APPLICANT'S RESP	PONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED
APPEAL	L NO	·
	IS AWAITING ACTION BY T	THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFER-
	☐ DATE OF HEARING EX	XPECTED
	☐ DECISION EXPECTED	•